



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MILEK A. WATKINS,	
Plaintiff,	) )
v.	Civil Action No. 08-248-GMS
COMMISSIONER CARL C. DANBERG, WARDEN PHELPS, AND CORRECTIONAL OFFICER ANDRE BROWN,	) ) ) )
Defendants.	)

## ORDER

- 1. The plaintiff Milek A. Watkins, SBI #355753, a prose se litigant who is presently incarcerated, has filed this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and has requested leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.
- 2. Based on the plaintiff's submissions, his request to proceed in forma pauperis is granted. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b), the plaintiff shall be assessed the filing fee of \$350.00. The Court has determined that the plaintiff has no assets and no means to pay the initial partial filing fee, nevertheless, any money the plaintiff later receives will be collected in the manner described below.
- 3. The plaintiff shall, within thirty days from the date this order is sent, complete and return to the Clerk of

Court, the attached authorization form allowing the agency having custody of him to forward all payments required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2) to the Clerk of the Court. FAILURE OF THE PLAINTIFF TO RETURN THE AUTHORIZATION FORM TO THE CLERK OF COURT WITHIN THIRTY DAYS FROM THE DATE THIS ORDER IS SENT SHALL RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF THIS ACTION.

- 4. The plaintiff shall be required to make monthly payments of 20 percent (20%) of the preceding month's income credited to the plaintiff's prison trust account and absent further order of the Court, the Warden or other appropriate official at Delaware Correctional Center, or at any prison at which the plaintiff is or may be incarcerated, shall forward payments from his account to the Clerk of the Court each time the amount in the account exceeds \$10.00 until the filing fee is paid. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY PAYMENT MADE OR REQUIRED, THE COURT SHALL DISMISS THE CASE IF THE COURT DETERMINES THAT THE ACTION IS FRIVOLOUS OR MALICIOUS, FAILS TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED, OR SEEKS MONETARY RELIEF AGAINST A DEFENDANT WHO IS IMMUNE FROM SUCH RELIEF.
- 5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), if plaintiff has had three or more actions dismissed by the Court on the grounds that they were frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, the Court shall deny plaintiff leave to proceed in forma pauperis all future suits filed without

prepayment of the filing fee, unless the Court determines that plaintiff is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

DATED: May 8,2008

United States District Judge

FILED

MAY - 8 2008

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
MILEK A. WATKINS,  Plaintiff,  v.  Civil Action No. 08-248-GMS  COMMISSIONER CARL C. DANBERG,  WARDEN PHELPS, AND  CORRECTIONAL OFFICER ANDRE  BROWN,  Defendants.
AUTHORIZATION
I, Milek A. Watkins, SBI #355753 request and authorize the
agency holding me in custody to disburse to the Clerk of the
Court all payments pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b) and required by the Court's order dated May 8 , 2008.  This authorization is furnished to the Clerk of Court in
connection with the filing of a civil action, and I understand
that the filing fee for the complaint is \$350.00. I also
understand that the entire filing fee may be deducted from my
trust account regardless of the outcome of my civil action. This
authorization shall apply to any other agency into whose custody
I may be transferred.
Date:, 2008.
Signature of Plaintiff

SAO 85 (Rev. 8/98) Notice, Consent, and Order of Reference — Exercise of Jurisdiction by a United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED	STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	District of	
Plaintiff V.	NOTICE, CONSENT, AND O EXERCISE OF JURISDICTIC MAGISTRATE JUDGE Case Number:	
Defendant		
	Y OF A UNITED STATES MAGISTRA XERCISE JURISDICTION	ATE JUDGE
In accordance with the provisions of 28 to magistrate judge of this district court is available to and to order the entry of a final judgment. Exercis parties voluntarily consent.		cluding a jury or nonjury trial,
You may, without adverse substantive cons from being exercised by a magistrate judge. If any consent will not be communicated to any magistrat		ties consenting or withholding
An appeal from a judgment entered by a mathis judicial circuit in the same manner as an appea	agistrate judge shall be taken directly to the Un I from any other judgment of this district cour	
CONSENT TO THE EXERCISE OF JUR	RISDICTION BY A UNITED STATES	MAGISTRATE JUDGE
In accordance with provisions of 28 U.S.C. States magistrate judge conduct any and all proceed conduct all post-judgment proceedings.	§636(c) and Fed.R.Civ.P. 73, the parties in thi dings in this case, including the trial, order the	
Party Represented	Signatures	Date
	RDER OF REFERENCE	
IT IS ORDERED that this case be referred United States Magistrate Judge, to conduct all proc §636(c) and Fed.R.Civ.P. 73.	toeedings and order the entry of judgment in acc	cordance with 28 U.S.C.
Date	United States District Judge	

NOTE: RETURN THIS FORM TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT ONLY IF ALL PARTIES HAVE CONSENTED ON THIS FORM TO THE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.